

Receipt date: 05/18/2010

OK TO ENTER: /MRY/ (05/19/2010)

Attorney Docket No. 0756-7801

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:) Confirmation No.: 3823
Nobuharu OHSAWA et al.) Examiner: Marie Rose Yamnitzky
Serial No. 10/590,703) Group Art Unit: 1794
Filed: August 25, 2006)
For: LIGHT EMITTING ELEMENT AND)
LIGHT EMITTING DEVICE)

AFTER FINAL RESPONSE

Honorable Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Official Action mailed February 18, 2010, has been received and its contents carefully noted. This response is filed within three months of the mailing date of the Official Action and therefore is believed to be timely without extension of time. Accordingly, the Applicant respectfully submits that this response is being timely filed.

The Applicant notes with appreciation the consideration of the Information Disclosure Statements filed on August 25, 2006; October 6, 2006; November 5, 2008; June 4, 2009; and November 9, 2009.

Regarding the Information Disclosure Statement filed on December 1, 2008 (received by OIPE December 3, 2008), the Official Action notes that "the examiner gave *full* consideration to each document listed in the IDS as evidenced by the examiner's initials next to each citation" (Paper No. 20090805, page 2; emphasis in original). In other words, despite the fact the Examiner crossed through the more accurate citations on the Form PTO-1449, *i.e.* the citations that show that full English translations of the cited documents have been submitted to the Patent Office, in light of the Examiner's comments included in the Official Action mailed August 7, 2009, reproduced above, it is understood that the Examiner has, in fact, considered the full English translations of the